

# A brief introduction to realist research approaches

Geoff Wong Clinical Research Fellow and GP Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences University of Oxford

School for Primary Care Research The National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research (NIHR SPCR) is a partnership between the Universities of Bristol, Cambridge, Keele, Manchester, Newcastle, Nottingham, Oxford, Southampton and University College London. Funded & supported by NHS National Institute for Health Research

## Structure of this session

- Objectives
- What are realist research approaches
- When might they be used
- Challenges from using realist research
- Wrapping up comments

This research is funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research (NIHRSPCR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

## **Objectives**

By the end of this presentation hopefully you will have an understanding of:

- what realist research approaches are
- complexity and realism
- when these approaches might be useful
- challenges from using realist research approaches

## Realist research approaches

- Realist evaluation = primary research
- Realist review / synthesis = secondary research
- Both approaches:
  - have more of an explanatory rather than judgmental focus.
  - based on a realist philosophy of science (ontology)
  - looks for mechanisms and middle-range theories.
  - iteratively tests and builds these theories.
  - looks to answer the 'How?', 'Why?', 'For whom?', 'To what extent?' and 'In what circumstances?'
  - helpful in making sense of interventions and programmes that are <u>complex</u> and have outcomes that are <u>context dependent</u>



1944 - 2014

### A brief overview of realism

### <u>Context + Mechanism = Outcome</u>

- A logic of analysis
- A model of causation (generative)
- A basis for transferable lessons

The logic of analysis (C+M=O) is a way of interrogating theory with data *and* a way of using theory to understand patterns in data.





Causation Symptoms and signs Mechanisms Severe myocardial ischaemia: Chest pain Fourth heart sound Low grade fever Leucocytosis and increased levels of inflammatory markers Increase in troponin levels Activation of autonomic nervous system: Tachycardia and sweating Bradycardia, nausea, and vomiting arch ID:



Newton discovers comedy



## Complexity – a realist perspective

- Volitions
  - People make choices
- Implementation
  - Long implementation chain
- Context
  - Pre-existing context micro to macro
- Time
  - Programmes / interventions come from somewhere
- Outcomes
  - Desired, undesired and intermediate / proximal
- Rivalry
  - Interaction (if any) with existing programmes / interventions
- Emergence
  - Things change!!!

The Science of evaluation: A realist perspective. Pawson R, 2013, Sage, London



## What happens in a complex intervention?

- When participants take part in a complex intervention, they make choices about what actions to undertake and these choices about actions give us our outcomes.
- Participants do not have an infinite range of choices available to them as to what actions they might take.
- The range of choices is limited and determined by the context in which the person is in.
- Various 'mechanisms' lie behind these choices.



### A simplified diagram of a 'complex' intervention



#### One section of the causal chain of a 'complex' intervention



## CMO and middle-range theory

• Hence...

Intervention

Context influences which mechanisms 'fire'.

## Context + Mechanism = Outcome

• Mechanisms are one of the building blocks of middle-range theories.

• Middle-range theories explain how and why the context limits and influences mechanisms.

## Challenges in undertaking realist research

- Understand realism especially generative causation
- Understanding what realist evaluation is (and is not) a "way of thinking"
- No one understands me <sup>(2)</sup>
- Applying C+M=O "Considerable work and analytic effort is needed …"
- Grappling with complexity the need to set boundaries and consider different 'levels' in the system (e.g. "individual, interpersonal and organisational")
- Getting the 'right' kind of data needed
- Managing the data to enable theory development and 'testing'

Challenges partly drawn from: Reflections from a Realist Evaluation in Progress: Scaling Ladders and Stitching Theory. Punton M, Vogel I and Lloyd R. CDI Practice Paper 18<sup>th</sup> April 2016

#### Quality and reporting standards and training resources

- RAMESES I and II Projects
   Realist evaluations and realist reviews have:
  - Reporting standards
  - Quality standards
  - Training materials
- Look online at:
  - <u>www.ramesesproject.org</u>
    www.jiscmail.ac.uk/RAMESES



## **Closing summary**

- Many interventions or programmes are 'complex interventions'
- Complexity comes about because of VICTORE
- One way to make sense of complex interventions is to account for how outcomes occur – i.e. a model of causation. In realism the explanation for why knowledge is transferable is based on mechanisms
- Many current research methods often lack a coherent account of how outcomes occur in relation to context and what the warrant is for transferable knowledge
- Realist research approaches help by focussing on the influences of context on mechanisms and dare to look inside the 'black-box' of the intervention itself!

## Additional resources

Suggested readings:

- Pawson R., Tilley N. Realistic Evaluation. London: Sage, 1999
- Pawson R. Evidence-based Policy. A Realist Perspective. London: Sage, 2006.
- Pawson R. The Science of evaluation: A realist manifesto. London: Sage, 2013
- Emmel N. et al. Doing Realist Research. London, Sage, 2018

This research is funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research (NIHRSPCR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.